

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. UT02012004 1091 10/770,901 02/02/2004 Richard D. Ferdolage EXAMINER 31105 7590 12/23/2005 LAW OFFICE OF PHILIP A STEINER CADUGAN, ERICA E 846 HIGUERA STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 11 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93401 3722

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(\mathcal{L})
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/770,901	FERDOLAGE, RICH	HARD D.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erica E. Cadugan	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the part of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 F</u>	ebruary 2004.		
,	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·		merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	າ priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ຄ	a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	red in this National S	stage
application from the International Burea		and .	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	eu.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/770,901 Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-34, drawn to a "concentric coaxial cutting machine", classified in class29, subclass 27A.
- II. Claims 35-37, drawn to a "method for using the concentric coaxial cutting machine", classified in class 29, subclass 558.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice, respectively. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to perform a difference process, such as where the object is only rotated 90 degrees.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Additionally, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: at least the species of Figures 1-4, the species of Figure 5, the species of Figure 6, the species of Figures 7-8, and the species of Figure 9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there does not appear to be a generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, election of species for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even if the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 10/770,901

Art Unit: 3722

7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erica E. Cadugan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4474.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erica E Cadugan

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3722